G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references.
CB 230-21-42
CB 230-21-346
CB 230-21-546.

1922-23 (CB)

File No. 230/21

Serial Nos. 748.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 748

Part B for July 1923

SUBJECT

Charge Allowance to Mr. R. Narasimha Rao for having been in charge of the Deputy Commissioner's Office, Bangalore

To The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore

No. 124 Dy 9-7-23

Informs that the claims of Mr. R. Narasimha Rao is not admissible

Printed on the Multigraph.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C. B. File No. 230 of 1921-22

Serial No.

from

The Rev. Comr, No. C.3890/46. 22-23 & 22.6.23.

Forwards for sanction a charge allowance bill for Rs 25-12-11 in favour of Mr. R. Ramaswami Rao for having been in charge of the Deputy Commissioner's Office, Bangalore, from the 18th to the 29th May 1922, when Mr. B. Ramaswamaiya, the permanent Dy. Comr. availed himself of the leave granted to him in Govt Notifn No 6883/C.B.230-21 dt 8th May 1922.

In the notifn referred to above Mr. Ramaswami Rao was appointed to be in charge of the current duties of the Dy. Comr, Bangalore Distt, during the period of the latter's absence on privilege leave for 12 days from or after the 15th May 1922.

Later on, on Mr. Ramaswamayya's representation that the period of leave availed of by him may be treated as casual leave for 7 days that Mr. Ramaswami Rao has not drawn any charge allowance for the period pending the settlement of the question of his leave, Govt sanctioned the request, and a copy of this order has been addressed to Rev. Comr. also.

Now after one year Mr. Ramaswami Rao claims the charge allowance and the Rev. Comr. recommends its sanction. No charge allowance is admissible for the period of casual leave. Submitted.

Govt 30.6.23.

The Finl Secy. has observed in the file No. 230-21-Sl. 506. that no charge

Flag W

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

allce will be is admissible to Mr. Ramasami Rao if Mr. B. Ramaswamy's absence was treated as casual leave, & so it was.

The claim of Mr. Ramasami Rao cannot thus be sanctd.

3/7

Ch. Secy.

Pungodaptrepl amadeyl 5/7

Draft submitted.

Sent 7/7.

Secy 6/7

8 To

The Revr. Commr, No 121 8.9.7.27.

Informs that the claim of Mr. Ramasami Rao is not admissible.

No 122: copy to Comptroller.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

C.No 3890/Gf 22-23 Bangalore...18th June...1923.....
22

Two

FROM

K. Chandy Esq. B.A.,

Revenne Commissioner in Mysore,

C.R. - 2597

C.R. 2597-21-7

23 JUN 1923

To

The *Secretary to the Government of*
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue *Department.*

SIR,

I have the honour to forward herewith for favour of Government sanction a charge allowance bill for Rs 25-12-11 in favour of Mr. R. Nanaswami Rao for having been in charge in addition to his own duties of the Deputy Commissioner's office, Bangalore, from the 18th to 29th May 1922 when Mr. B. Ramaswamaiya the Permanent Deputy Commissioner availed himself of the leave granted to him in Government Notification No.6883-C.B.230-21-2 dated 8th May 1922.

2. Chitaldrug Deputy Commissioner's letter No.O.C.1313/22-23 dated 19th/21st May 1923 with which the bill was received is also forwarded for reference and kind return.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Assistant Commissioner,

For Revenue Commissioner,
Absent from the station.

G.R. BG

R. C. 4.

FROM

K. Chandy Esq. B.A.,

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Dated 18th June 1923.

Despatched

Received

General No.

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

Re: the charge allowance bill for Rs. 25-12-11 in favour of Mr. R. Nanaswami Rao.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *191* .

Date of issue 9/7/23

Signed by Sir H A Cousins

Section C.B.

File No. 230-21.

Serial No 8.

No. 121 Ecis 2 dt 9/7/23

To

The Rev. Comr.

Sir,

With reference to your letter no. C. 3890/ql. 22-23 & 22nd June 1923 recommending sanction to the charge allowance claimed by Mr. R. Ramaswami Rao for having been in charge of the current duties of the Dy. Comr, Bangalore Dist., during the period of the latter's absence on privilege leave from the 18th to the 29th May 1922, I am directed to invite reference to G.O. no 657-8/C.B. 230-21-6 dated.

over.

9th Aug. 1922 in which the period of leave for 7 days actually availed of by the Dy. Commissioner, Bangalore Dist., was treated as casual leave on the understanding that Mr. Ramaswami Rao need not draw any charge allowance for the period. I am therefore to state that the claim of Mr. Ramaswami Rao is not admissible

The enclosures received with your letter are returned herewith.

I have etc.,

Chief Secretary.

Copy to Comptroller.

By order,

Chief Secy.

7.7.23

No. 122/C.B.
Dt 9/7/23
List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by